UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,362	06/15/2005	Ralph Kurt	NL 021426	7350
	7590 10/30/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		MULVANEY, ELIZABETH EVANS		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,362	KURT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Elizab	eth E. Mulvaney	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: eal (with	(1) an amendment, affidavit appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory <i>F</i> ater than	Action, or (2) the date set forth i SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	·).	. ,						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension a hortened	nd the corresponding amount of statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	liance w	vith 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS	ision the	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior	to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);	·						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form	for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	correspo	onding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 000	attached Nation of Nan Cor	maliant Amandment (I	DTOL 224)				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		allached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).				
<ul><li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow</li></ul>		if submitted in a congrete t	imaly filad amandmar	at concoling the				
non-allowable claim(s).			•	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected to:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		u La cer N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercom	e <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does N	NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
AO I Note the effect of lefe mostion Directorum Otatamant/s) (	DTO/O	2/00) DN-/-)						
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SI	3/08) Paper No(s)						
There does not seem to be support in the specification for		/Elizabeth E. Mulvaney/						
the limitation of the additional layer to be "in contact" with the substrate as stated in claim 1.		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1794						